## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

TYRONE SHANNON, Inmate #B26596,	)
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	) CIVIL NO. 06-748-MJR
RICHARD J. WHITNEY and DIANE SPIER,	) ) )
Defendants.	)

## **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

## **REAGAN, District Judge:**

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 together with a civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. However, Plaintiff has not submitted a certified copy of his prison trust fund account statement for the sixmonth period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint as required by § 1915(a)(1). Plaintiff includes a statement that shows only his current account balance. To properly evaluate Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the Court must have a statement that shows the transactions and running balance in his account for the last six months.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall submit, within THIRTY (30) DAYS of the date of the entry of this order, a certified copy of his prison trust fund account statement for the sixmonth period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint. Plaintiff is ADVISED that in the event he has been transferred among institutions during this six-month period, it is Plaintiff's responsibility to obtain a copy of his prison trust account statement from each such facility and to forward it to the Court. Plaintiff is FURTHER ADVISED that his obligation to pay the filing fee

Case 3:06-cv-00748-MJR Document 5 Filed 10/02/06 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #15

for this action was incurred at the time the action was filed; such an obligation will exist whether

or not Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); see also

Lucien v. Jockisch, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon conclusion of this thirty-day period, should

Plaintiff fail to comply with this order, this case will be closed for failure to comply with an order

of this Court. FED.R.CIV.P. 41(b); see generally Ladien v. Astrachan, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997);

Johnson v. Kamminga, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of October, 2006.

s/ Michael J. Reagan

MICHAEL J. REAGAN United States District Judge

- 2 -